IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

| Brian T. Roberts, |) | |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | Civil Action No. 8:15-0076-TMC-JDA |
| V. |) | |
| |) | ORDER |
| Carolyn W. Colvin, |) | |
| Commissioner of Social Security, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

Plaintiff, Brian T. Roberts, brought this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying his claim for Disability Insurance benefits under the Social Security Act. (ECF No. 1). Plaintiff filed a motion for default judgment. (ECF No. 16). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to a magistrate judge for pretrial handling. Before the court is the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), recommending that Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (ECF No. 16) be denied. (ECF No. 20). Neither party filed objections to the Report, and the time to do so has now run.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

8:15-cv-00076-TMC Date Filed 09/23/15 Entry Number 23 Page 2 of 2

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein by reference. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (ECF No. 16) is **DENIED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina September 23, 2015